Using MEG to study large-scale functional networks underlying language processing
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How does functional connectivity within the language network change during preparation for speech in a picture naming paradigm?

Do the various spectral components contribute differently to the network dynamics?

Task-related connectivity in MEG and fMRI – can we identify a shared cortical network?
Large-scale networks in the brain are thought to underlie cognition and behavior.

To support behavior, large-scale networks should be transiently formed and **reformed in a task-relevant manner** (e.g. by attention: Fries, 2005; Bastos et al., 2015).

Coherence among oscillating neuronal groups produces temporal windows of efficient communication (Fries, 2005).

Allows **flexibility in routing information**: an area can show distinct connectivity during different tasks despite the constancy of its anatomical wiring.

Coherence used as an index of functional connectivity.
Large-scale connectivity modulations in the language network: some challenges

We would like to:
- identify the entire network involved in the task (all-to-all connectivity)
- capture dynamic changes in connectivity (temporal resolution of MEG)

Things to consider:
- Field spread
- Power differences between conditions
- Speech causes muscle artefacts
- What’s the statistical threshold: control condition
- Multiple comparisons

Liljeström et al., *Hum Brain Mapp* 2015
Functional networks were identified with whole-cortex mapping of coherence

**Time-resolved networks:** We used an event-related spatial filter (erDICS, Laaksonen et al. *NeuroImage* 2008).

**All-to-all connectivity:** Estimates computed for each cortical grid point (7-mm spacing) with all other grid points.

**To address field spread:** Power-matched frequency bands: in the theta, alpha, beta and gamma range.

**Long-range connectivity** (minimum distance 4 cm).

**Visualization:** MEG connectogram

Liljeström et al., *Hum Brain Mapp* 2015; *Neuroimage* 2015
Picture naming involves all main stages of speech production

- **Conceptualization**: Determining what to say.
- **Formulation**: Creating the linguistic form for that concept.
- **Execution**: Motor coordination for articulation

- **Object recognition**
- **Selecting a lexical item**
- **Phonological encoding**
- **Phonetic encoding**
- **Articulation**

Levelt et al., 1999, 2001; Harley, 2001
Large-scale network modulations underlying preparation for motor speech

Time-resolved networks:
Functional connectivity was calculated for two time windows preceding naming (0-300 ms and 300-600ms after picture onset).

Task-relevant interactions:
Modulations in connectivity determined by contrasting coherence results for overt and silent naming (p<0.05, FWE corrected)
Enhanced connectivity strength during preparation for overt speech

Liljeström et al., *Hum Brain Mapp* 2015
Functional differentiation between beta and gamma long-range connectivity

Ventral motor cortex involvement in both frequency bands.

Overt speech: coherence in the beta band
Silent speech: coherence in the gamma band

Liljeström et al., *Hum Brain Mapp* 2015
Reconfiguration of the language network during motor preparation for speech

We demonstrated a transient reorganization of the large-scale functional networks that support language and speech. Such changes can take place at time scales of a few hundred milliseconds. Frequency-specific interactions can carry information on functionally distinct network modules. The dynamic nature of global functional networks can be taken into account when assessing task-relevant brain networks.
Task-related connectivity in MEG and fMRI – can we identify a similar cortical network?

Different visual stimuli (action vs. object image), same task (name the noun).

Different naming task (verb vs. noun), same stimuli.

Functional connectivity estimated using coherence for both MEG (50-800ms) and fMRI.

**Task-relevant interactions:**
Modulations in connectivity determined by contrasting coherence results from the different categories.

Liljeström, Stevenson et al., *NeuroImage* 2015
Greatest similarity between MEG- and fMRI-derived networks at frequencies below 30 Hz

- Consistent with resting-state studies: Brookes et al., 2011a,b; de Pasquale et al., 2012; Hipp et al., 2012; Hipp and Siegel, 2015.
- Our results further suggest that gamma frequency band interactions contribute to the haemodynamic networks.

Different naming task (verb vs. noun)  Different image (action vs object)
Comparison of networks

Different visual stimuli

Wiring cost $K = \text{number of edges/all possible edges}$

Jaccard coefficient $= \text{overlapping edges/union of edges}$

Liljeström, Stevenson et al., *NeuroImage* 2015
Stimulus-related modulations: overlap between MEG and fMRI

Common network hubs were seen in cortical regions previously associated with visual processing, object recognition, and action image processing.
Task-related modulations: overlap between MEG and fMRI

The directly shared cortico-cortical connections between the left temporal and left inferior frontal frontal cortex agree with the known structural connections between these regions.

Different naming task (verb vs. noun)

Liljeström, Stevenson et al., *NeuroImage* 2015
The relationship between MEG and fMRI networks: A summary

Overlapping task networks in MEG and fMRI.

Greatest similarity between MEG- and fMRI- derived networks observed at frequencies below 30 Hz.

The spatial distribution of task-relevant network hubs identified using different task-manipulations differed widely, revealing a reorganization of functional connectivity during task performance.
Reorganization of large-scale functional connectivity during picture naming

Conceptualization

Formulation

Execution

Different image (action vs object)

Different naming task (verb vs. noun)

Overt vs silent naming

Liljeström et al., *Hum Brain Mapp* 2015
Liljeström, Stevenson et al., *NeuroImage* 2015
Future directions

Mapping the language network:
By introducing a battery of (properly power-matched) control conditions we may be able to identify several subnetworks involved in visual object recognition, lexical-semantic processing, and motor speech output.

Network reorganization:
How do networks change as a function of e.g. disease, learning or aging?

Liljeström et al., *Hum Brain Mapp* 2015
Liljeström, Stevenson et al., *NeuroImage* 2015
Thank you!
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We used power-matched frequency bands to avoid spurious coherence modulations. Data from five additional subjects were used to determine frequency bands of interest in fMRI.

Average nodal timecourses were extracted, segmented by task, mean centered, windowed (tapered cosine) and concatenated into blocks of individual task conditions (Sun, et al., 2004).

In a global search, coherence estimates were computed for each cortical node with all other nodes in frequency bands of interest.

Task-relevant interactions:
Modulations in connectivity determined by contrasting coherence results from the different categories.